

APPENDIX - 1

Statement showing the objection of the consumers / public, MESCOM's Response and the Commission's Views.

	Objections	Replies by MESCOM
MA-01 to 03 and MA-05 to MA-09		
1.	<p>UÁæ«ÄÄt ¥ÁæzÉÄ±ÄzÄ°è «zÄÄâvi ¥Áæ, ÄgÄt «vÄgÄuÄ ªÄiÄUÄðUÄ¼ÄÄ zÄÄ¹ÜwAiÄÄ°èªÉ.</p>	<p>UÁæ°ÄPÄgÄ F °ÉÄ½PÉUÄ¼ÄÄ , ÄªÄÄAd, ÄªÄVgÄÄªÄÄç®è. ªÉÄ, ÄiÄ vÄ£Äß «zÄÄâvi eÄ®zÄ «, ÄÜgÄuÉUÉ ªÄÄvÄÄÜ §®ªÄzsÄð£ÉUÉ §AqÄªÄ¼Ä</p>
2.	<p>«zÄÄâvi vÄAwUÄ¼ÄÄ °Ä¼ÉAiÄÄzÄVzÄÄÝ UÁæ«ÄÄt ¥ÁæzÉÄ±ÄUÄ¼Ä°è «zÄÄâvi ÄUÄuÉ ªÄiÄqÄªÄªÄ , ÄªÄÄxÄäð«gÄÄªÄÄç®è.</p>	<p>PÄªÄÄUÄjUÄ¼ÄÄ£ÄÄß C£ÄÄµÄx£ÄUÉÆ½, ÄÄwÜzÉ. 2018-19 gÄ , Ä°£Ä°è gÄÆ.612 PÉÆÄnAiÄÄµÄÄÖ §AqÄªÄ¼ÄÄ PÄªÄÄUÄjUÄ¼ÄÄ C£ÄÄµÄx£ÄUÉÆArzÄÄÝ, ÄÄªÄiÄgÄÄ 2897 Q.«ÄÄ. GzÄÝzÄ 11 PÉ.«. ªÄiÄUÄðUÄ¼ÄÄ, 2350 Q.«ÄÄ. GzÄÝzÄ J i.n. ªÄiÄUÄðUÄ¼ÄÄ ªÄÄvÄÄÜ 8237 ÄASÉÄAiÄÄ «zÄÄâvi ¥ÄªÄvÄðPAUÄ¼ÄÄ£ÄÄß «zÄÄâvi eÄ®PÉi , ÉÄ¥ÄðqÉUÉÆ½, ÄÄVzÉ. EzÄÄ MAzÄÄ µgÄAvÄgÄ ¥ÄæQæAiÉÄAiÄiÄVzÄÄÝ 2019-20 £ÉÄ Ä°£Ä°èAiÄÄÆ F µnÖ£Ä°è ÄPÄµÄÄÖ ¥ÄæUÄwAiÄÄ£ÄÄß Äçü¹gÄÄvÄÜzÉ.</p> <p>ªÄÄÄzÄÄªÄgÉzÄAvÉ , Ä°è ÄÄªÄÄzÉÄ£ÉzÄgÉ, «zÄÄâvi eÄ®UÄ¼ÄÄ §®ªÄzsÄð£ÉUÉ ÄPÄµÄÄÖ §AqÄªÄ¼ÄÄzÄ CªÄ±ÄPÄvÉ-ÄgÄÄªÄÄzÄjAzÄ, CªÄUÄ¼ÄÄ£ÄÄß °ÄAvÄ °ÄAvÄªÄV UÉgÄªÄªévÄ DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ C£ÄÄªÉÆÄzÄ£ÉAiÉÆAçUÉ ªÄÄÄAç£Ä ªÄµÄðUÄ¼Ä°è C£ÄÄµÄx£ÄUÉÆ½, ÄÄ ÄUÄÄªÄÄÄ.</p>
<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ C®ü¥ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄÄ: ªÄÄ.«. Ä.PAA. AiÄÄÄ µÄrgÄªÄªÄ GvÄÜgÄªÄ£ÄÄß DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ ªÄw-ÄAzÄ UÄªÄÄ, ÄÄVzÉ. F µnÖ£Ä°è “§AqÄªÄ¼ÄÄ ªÉZÄÑ” vÄAiÄiÄjÄªÄUÄ CªÄ±ÄPÄªÄVgÄªÄªÄ PÄªÄÄUÄjUÄ¼ÄUÉ CzÄävÉ ªÄqÄªÄÉÄPÉAzÄÄ »AçµAzÄ®Æ «zÄÄâvi , ÄgÄ§gÄdÄ PÄA¥ÄªUÄ¼ÄUÉ DAIÉÆÄUÄªÄÄ µzÉÄð±Ä£ÄªÄ£ÄÄß µÄrgÄÄvÄÜzÉ ªÄÄvÄÄÜ F µnÖ£Ä°è “capital expenditure guidelines” C£ÄÄß ¥Ä°, Ä®Ä ÄÆa¹gÄÄvÄÜzÉ.</p>		
3.	<p>PÄA¥ÉªAiÄÄÄ §AqÄªÄ¼ÄÄ °ÄÆrPÉ ªÄiÄrzÄÝgÄÆ , Ä¹ÉÖÄµÄ£iUÄ¼ÄÄ PÄªÄÄUÄjAiÄÄÄ C¥ÄÆtðUÉÆAqÄÄ F £ÄµÄÖªÄÄ UÁæ°ÄPÄjUÉ</p>	<p>Ä¹ÉÖÄµÄ£iUÄ¼ÄÄ ªÄiÄðt PÄAiÄÄðUÄ¼ÄÄ C£ÄÄµÄx£ÄzÄ°è CUÄvÄÄ “sÄÆ«ÄAiÄÄ ®”sÄävÉ, «zÄÄâvi ªÄiÄUÄð ªÄiÄðtPÄiV “ÉÄPÄUÄªÄÄ ROW, CgÄtÄ ¥ÄæzÉÄ±ÄzÄ°è «zÄÄâvi ªÄiÄUÄðUÄ¼ÄÄ °ÄzÄÄ °ÉÆÄUÄ®Ä CgÄtÄ E ÄSÉAiÄÄ C£ÄÄªÄÄw EvÄç</p>

		<p>«»AAiAAöAVgAAvAUZÉ.</p> <p>DAIÉÆAUZÄ C@ü¥AæAiAAUA¼AA: F anÖLÄ°è KERC (Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time aAA DAIÉÆAUZÄ aAiAUÄöZÄ²öAiAiAVgAAvAUZÉ.</p>
5.	<p>AA¥A£ÄÆä@UA¼A£ÄÄß AjAiAiAV §¼A¹PÉÆ¼ÄizÉÄ zÄÄ·Äj É-É PÉÆIÄÖ «zÄÄävî RjÄç aAiAr °ÉaÑ£Ä zÄgÄªA£ÄÄß UÄæ°APÄjUE aAUÄö-Ä ÄªaAAzÄÄ AjAiÄÄ®è.</p>	<p>«»zsÄ aAAÆ@UA¼AzÄ RjÄç aAiArgAAªA «zÄÄävî ¥AjªAiÄt aÄÄvÄÄÜ aÉZÄÑUA¼Ä §UEÍ dPÁw ¥AjµÄlgAuÄ CföAiÄÄ°è aÉÄ ÄIA ¥ÄÆtö «ªÄgÄUA¼A£ÄÄß ¢ÄrzÄÄÝ, EzÄ£ÄÄß UËgÄªAæévÄ DAIÉÆAUªAªA ¥Aj²Ä°¹ ÄÆPÄÜ DzÉÄ±AªA£ÄÄß ¢ÄqÄÄvÄÜZÉ.</p> <p>DAIÉÆAUZÄ C@ü¥AæAiAAUA¼AA: F anÖLÄ°è KERC (Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time aAA DAIÉÆAUZÄ aAiAUÄöZÄ²öAiAiAVgAAvAUZÉ.</p>
MA-04		
6.	<p>The objector has argued the that the Tariff Petition filed by MESCOM is not maintainable.</p> <p>The petition has been filed on 05-01-2020 which is not 120 days before the commencement of financial year.</p> <p>Commission's Views: The petition filed has been received by the Commission on 29th November 2019. Hence the petition is maintainable based on the item-wise view of the Commission provided below.</p> <p>MESCOM has not furnished the detailed of the efficiency improvement measures.</p> <p>Commission's Views: The Commission is guided by the provisions of the KERC(Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time and the same are being complied with.</p> <p>MESCOM has taken APR deficit of FY18 as Rs.221.19 Cr. This should not be loaded on to the consumers. But should be borne by the Government.</p> <p>Commission's Views: Commission takes note of the reply by MESCOM. The relevant issue has been dealt with suitably in this Tariff Order.</p> <p>"Cost to Serve" model not approved.</p> <p>Commission's Views: The reason as to why Cost to serve is not being implemented is</p>	<p>MESCOM has filed the petition before Hon'ble Commission on 28-11-2019 which is within 120 days before the commencement of financial year</p> <p>The Commission is computing the efficiency gains to be shared between the consumers and utility while approving the ARR.</p> <p>It is to be submitted that Rs.221.19 Cr is not revenue deficit. It is the revenue surplus of FY19 arrived at for adjustment of tariff for FY-21.</p> <p>Thee Commission is determining the retail supply tariff on the basis of average cost of supply and had discussed the rationale for that also in the tariff orders. One of the reasons for considering the average cost of supply is due to the fact that the distribution network in Karnataka is such that it is difficult to segregate the common cost between different classes of consumers.</p>

	clearly stated in this Tariff Order. The Voltage-wise cost to serve is also indicated in the Annexure to the Tariff Order.	
	Resorting to load shedding without approval of KERC.	The scheduled load shedding are being notified in advance. However, the unscheduled load shedding is resorted to only when the power supply demand and the availability mismatches. Even after taking all precautionary measures & plans, sudden reduction in generation by some generators for the reasons which are beyond their control, will affect the power supply position.
	Commission's Views: The Commission takes note of the reply provided by MESCOM. MESCOM shall avoid load shedding due to improper maintenance and avoidable reasons.	
	Tariff proposed for IP sets category is below average cost of supply.	MESCOM has proposed the increase for IP set category by 67 paise per unit. The tariff in respect of IP category is after factoring in the cross-subsidy from other cross subsidizing categories. In the prevailing socio-economic conditions, continuing the cross subsidization is inevitable.
	Commission's Views: The tariff of the various categories is being fixed based on the paying capacities. The IP set tariff is fixed considering the cross subsidies from paying consumers.	
	MESCOM has totally failed in improving efficiency of its operations and complying with the directives of the Commission.	The statement of the objector is not acceptable. The Commission is periodically reviewing the performance of MESCOM and also compliance of various directives. In view of the above, it is submitted that MESCOM's application for upward revision of tariff is maintainable in all respects.
	Commission's Views: The reply furnished by MESCOM is acceptable.	
7.	Following are the statements of the objector on Truing-Up for FY-19 / ARR for FY-21.	
	MESCOM has incurred power purchase cost of Rs.2223.04 Crores, as against the approved amount of Rs.1867.02 Crores MESCOM should have controlled the power purchase cost.	It is to be submitted that MESCOM's Power Purchase cost is an uncontrollable. Since the primary responsibility of a distribution company is to provide continuous and quality supply to its consumers, subject to technical constraints. Thus, it is not fair to regulate the consumption of consumers in order to limit power purchase cost.
	Commission's Views: The Commission is guided by KERC(Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time and since the power purchase costs are uncontrollable, they have be allowed as per the Regulations.	
	MESCOM has not limited the capex to the amount approved by the Hon'ble Commission.	MESCOM has submitted all the details regarding capex incurred during FY19 and the Commission would validate the same.
	Commission's Views: The Commission is guided by KERC(Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time and the capex is being regulated accordingly.	
	In the case of LT-5 installations the consumption growth is negative. In the case of HT-2a category, the growth rate is meager. MESCOM should have taken corrective	MESCOM is also concerned with the decrease in consumption in respect of industrial category. But power tariff is not the sole reason for the reduced electrical consumption. One of the objectors to the MESCOM's tariff petition, Karnataka Chamber

	action.	of Commerce and Industries, Mangaluru, has observed that the small scale industries are reeling under severe hardship on account of global recession, demonetization, reduced sales and recessionary conditions prevailing in the domestic market.
	Commission's Views: The Commission takes note of the above reply provided by MESCOM.	
8.	Following are the statements of the objector on Compliance to Directives.	
	Since there is surplus generation, MESCOM may supply TOD power to HT industries at lesser rate.	The Commission is the competent authority to deal with this.
	Commission's Views: The Commission has dealt with this issue appropriately in this Tariff Order.	
	Timer switches are not provided to street lights.	Fixing & maintenance of the street lights is the responsibility of local bodies. This aspect has been brought to the notice of the Hon'ble Commission and the Commission has directed to convince the local bodies to take action in this regard.
	Commission's Views: MESCOM shall be guided by the Directive of the Commission on Providing Timer Switches to Streetlights.	
	Independent feeders are to be provided to industries.	On specific application from the individual industries the feasibility of providing independent feeders will be examined.
	Commission's Views: The MESCOM is guided by KERC's Regulations, "Conditions of Supply of Electricity of the Distribution Licensees in the State of Karnataka" and its amendments from time to time	
	MESCOM has not indicated the details of how many installations are yet to be serviced with solar water heaters.	Installing solar water heater is optional for domestic consumers depending upon the sanctioned load, for which they are entitled to rebates, as provided by the Commission.
	Commission's Views: The reply provided by MESCOM is not in order. The MESCOM shall be guided by Clause 4 of KERC's regulation "Conditions of Supply of Electricity of the Distribution Licensees in the State of Karnataka" and its amendments from time to time.	
	Nirantara Jyothi Scheme is being done as per the Govt. directions for better supply to rural consumers. As this is the Govt. welfare scheme, the related capital cost should be borne by Govt. and not by consumers.	The Central Government is providing 60% grant for implementing feeder segregation scheme. The remaining 40% have to be borne by respective ESCOMs.
	Commission's Views: The Commission takes note of the reply of MESCOM.	
	MESCOM is not serious in implementing HVDS.	Focused HVDS program is required where cluster of electrical load exists. But MESCOM is having staggered electrical loads. Hence, MESCOM, in the system improvement works, has moved to replace higher capacity of distribution transformers with lower capacity transformers which will result in increased HT lines.

Commission's Views: The MESCOM is guided by the directive of the Commission on Implementation of HVDS.	
MESCOM has not completed metering of DTCs to work out the losses in order to plug the same. Energy losses in majority of the feeders are more than 15%.	In this regard, it is to be submitted that MESCOM is initiating action to streamline the data obtained from the meters fixed to DTCs for effective energy audit.
Commission's Views: The reply provided by MESCOM is not satisfactory. The MESCOM shall be guided by the directive of the Commission on Energy Audit.	
No action plan proposed by MESCOM to prevent electrical accidents.	MESCOM is periodically identifying the hazardous installations and system improving programmes are being suitably made to rectify the hazardous installations in order to prevent the electrical accidents. Directions have been issued to the field officers for taking up the safety audit of the electrical system.
Commission's Views: The MESCOM shall be guided by the directive of the Commission on Prevention of Electrical Accidents.	
HT/LT lines ratio not maintained at the level of 1:1.	It is submitted that 1:1 is the ideal ratio to be maintained for HT/LT lines and MESCOM keeps it in view as benchmark, as far as possible, while implementing system improvement works.
Commission's Views: The reply furnished by MESCOM is noted. MESCOM should have clear Action Plan to achieve the desired HT LT ratio.	
MESCOM is hiding the data relating to Reliability Index as it appears that there is no improvement in quality of supply.	MESCOM has no intention to hide the data. MESCOM is providing the data of Reliability Indices to the Hon'ble Commission regularly and the same is also being hosted on the MESCOM website.
Commission's Views: The Commission notes the reply provided by MESCOM.	
Data on actual number of IP sets not furnished. MESCOM has not regularized the un-authorized IP sets. Specific consumption should be worked out taking into account the un-authorized IP sets also so that more subsidies will come from the Govt.	As per the directions of Hon'ble Commission MESCOM has completed the GPS survey of all the IP sets on 31-03-2019 and report submitted to the Hon'ble Commission. In the MESCOM filing, the details of GPS survey also been mentioned.
Commission's Views: The Commission notes the reply provided by MESCOM and MESCOM is also guided by the directives of the Commission on Energy Auditing and Niranthara Jyothi Feeder Separation.	
MESCOM has not given the details of failures of Distribution Transformers.	During the year 2018-19 MESCOM has recorded a failure rate of 11.61% of Distribution Transformers. In the current year, upto Dec-19, the failure rate is only 7.31%.
Commission's Views: The Commission notes the reply submitted by MESCOM.	
MESCOM is quoting only distribution losses but not ATC losses.	The ATC loss is correlated with the distribution loss. However, it is to be indicated that ATC loss of MESCOM for FY-19 is 11.90% only.
Commission's Views: The Commission notes the reply submitted by MESCOM.	
MESCOM is not monitoring the implementation of Standards of Performance.	The Standards of performance as per the KERC (Licensee's standards of performance) Regulation-2004 is being hosted on MESCOM website. Action

		is taken to display the SoP in all subdivision /Divisions of MESCOM. The periodical reports are being sent to Hon'ble Commission regularly.
	Commission's Views: The Commission notes the reply submitted by MESCOM.	
9.	Following are the statement of the objector on the Annual Revenue Requirement & Tariff revision.	
	Software companies should be brought under Commercial Tariff. Solar rebate should be enhanced to Rs.100/-.	On the above, it is to be submitted that MESCOM will follow Hon'ble Commission's order.
	Commission's Views: The Commission notes the observation and reply submitted by MESCOM.	
	MESCOM has not produced the subsidy allocation letter.	As per the policy of the Govt. electricity charges in respect of BJ/KJ consumers upto 40 units and IP set consumers upto 10 HP have to be released by the Govt. to the respective ESCOMs.
	Commission's Views: The Commission notes the reply submitted by MESCOM.	
	Segregation of technical and commercial losses not done.	In this regard, it is to be submitted that in the energy flow diagram MESCOM has indicated the commercial and technical loss separately.
	Commission's Views: The Commission notes the reply submitted by MESCOM.	
	In the absence of Cost to Serve model, demand / fixed charges should not be increased.	The request of the objector is untenable. For catering power supply to its consumers ESCOMs have to construct & maintain the electrical network and it should also be kept in ready state at all times so that the consumers get supply whenever required. For constructing and maintaining the electrical network ESCOMs are incurring certain expenditures which are fixed in nature. These fixed costs are to be recovered justifiably from the consumers who are all on the electrical network even in case of no supply also.
	Commission's Views: In this regard, the Commission is guided by KERC(Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time.	
10.	Following are the statements of the objector under the head "GENERAL".	
	Narrated the State power scenario and stressed the need of distributed generation. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested the Commission to advise the State Government to increase the generation. In the absence of 100% metering KERC should not allow cross subsidy in respect of un-metered category. Substantial reduction in tariff is required for survival of industries in Karnataka. 	On the above it is to be submitted that the statements of objector are advisory in nature and Hon'ble Commission may take a view.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MESCOM should have its own generation of 2000 MW. Requested the Hon'ble Commission to endeavor to balance cross subsidy while determining the tariff. Cost of supply should be the base for determination of tariff. Tariff should not be increased for small scale industries. 	
<p>Commission's Views: Presently the State is having surplus power as per the Tariff filings of State ESCOMs and the Commission notes the other observations.</p>		
	Introduce prepaid meters and refund the security deposit collected.	It is to be stated that in the 7th Amendment to the Conditions of Supply in the State of Karnataka, Hon'ble Commission has introduced prepaid meters to public water supply, street lights and Government Offices at the option of the consumers.
<p>Commission's Views: The MESCOM shall be guided by KERC's regulation, "Conditions of Supply of Electricity of the Distribution Licensees in the State of Karnataka" and its amendments from time to time.</p>		
MA-10 and MA-14		
11.	The objector has stated that MESCOM has prepared its proposal for tariff revision on the basis of Average Cost of Supply whereas it should be on Voltage Wise Average Cost of Supply. This will enable the Hon'ble Commission to determine extent of cross subsidy from each of the categories.	The Commission is determining the retail supply tariff on the basis of average cost of supply and had discussed the rationale for that also in the tariff orders. One of the reasons for considering the average cost of supply is due to the fact that the distribution network in Karnataka is such that it is difficult to segregate the common cost between voltage levels. However, voltage class wise cost of supply is being calculated for comparing the cross subsidization in each voltage class in the tariff orders on the proposals of ESCOMs.
<p>Commission's Views: In this regard the Commission is guided by the Electricity Act-2003 and its amendments from time to time, National Electricity Policy and tariff policy.</p>		
12.	In the tariff revision proposal MESCOM has requested for slab-wise demand charges for HT-2a category. This should be done by circulating a Discussion Paper among the ESCOMs and other stakeholders.	MESCOM has explained the rationale for proposing slab wise demand charges in respect of HT-2a tariff category in its filing. However, MESCOM will abide by the decision of the Hon'ble Commission.
<p>Commission's Views: The matter has been dealt with suitably in the Tariff Order.</p>		
13.	The objector has referred the Annual Accounts of MESCOM to state that the Company is in profit and there is no necessity of increase in tariff.	MESCOM has drawn its proposal for approval of ARR/ERC for FY-21 in the framework of the relevant regulations of the Hon'ble Commission. In the proposal, MESCOM has detailed all the parameters and bases which have been considered by MESCOM to propose increase in tariff.
<p>Commission's Views: The Commission is guided by KERC (Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time. The regulation of expenses is done as per the norms contained in these Regulations.</p>		

14.	The objector has stated that various industries are closing down due to adverse operating cost and requested Hon'ble Commission to reduce the power tariff. The objector viewed that reduction in power tariff will boost the power consumption.	The increase in power tariff is not the sole reason for closing down of the industries. As the objector himself observed, the industries are reeling under severe hardship on account of global recession, demonetization, reduced sales and recessionary conditions prevailing in the domestic market.
Commission's Views: The reply furnished by MESCOM is acceptable. Further, the Commission is guided by KERC(Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time.		
15.	The objector has stated that as per the Electricity Act 2003, the ESCOMs have to carry forward their business on commercial principles and observed that catering power to IP set and BJ/KJ category below the average cost of supply is not in line with commercial principles. As these categories are being subsidized by GOK, the GOK has to bear the full cost arising out of their commitment. Cross subsidization factor is destroying the small scale industries.	The tariff proposed in respect of BJ/KJ category is at the level of average cost of supply whereas the tariff in respect of IP category is after factoring the cross subsidy from other cross subsidizing categories. In the prevailing socio-economic conditions continuing the cross subsidization is inevitable. Hence, during 2007, the Electricity Act 2003 has been amended to delete the phrase "eliminates" and to say "that the tariff progressively reflects the cost of supply of electricity and also, reduces cross-subsidies in the manner specified by the Appropriate Commission."
Commission's Views: The Commission is guided by the Electricity Act-2003 and its amendments from time to time, National Electricity Policy and tariff policy. Accordingly the tariff is being fixed.		
16.	The objector has observed that the receivables by MESCOM from KPTCL & other ESCOMs amount to Rs.374.61 Cr. Apart from energy balancing other dues are not reconciled as observed by the Auditors in the Annual Accounts. Further, it has also stated that there is a subsidy amount of Rs.913 Cr is pending to be released by GOK affecting the cash flows of MESCOM and in turn the consumer tariff.	The receivables among the ESCOMs are being reconciled periodically and efforts are being put forth to liquidate the same. Further, MESCOM is continuously pursuing with GOK for the release of pending subsidy.
Commission's Views: The Commission takes note of the above		
17.	The objector has stated that in the Annual Accounts, the independent Auditors has mentioned that MESCOM has paid interest and damages by not paying PF money to the concerned authority in time,	These issues are pending before various legal forums and the interest & damages have been paid as per the directions of the respective authorities so as to file MESCOM's appeal against the claims.

	which is adding to the expenditure resulting it to be passed on to the consumers.	
	Commission's Views: The Commission noted the above observation and reply by MESCOM.	
18.	The objector has stated that consequent to capitalization of consumer Meter Security Deposit, MESCOM is passing on the interest on Meter Security Deposit (as per the ledger balancing existing in the sub divisions) to the consumers and simultaneously claiming ROE on the capital amount which includes the capitalization amount of Meter Security Deposit and requested not to allow ROE on the capitalized amount of Meter Security Deposit.	MESCOM is not claiming ROE on capitalized Meter Security Deposit. Capitalized Meter Security Deposit of Rs.26 Cr is being deducted out of the total equity while computing the ROE for the Company. The details are given in the filing.
	Commission's Views: The Commission noted the above observation and reply by MESCOM.	
19.	The objector has stated that small scale industries are reeling under severe hardship on account of global recession, demonetization, reduced sales and recessionary conditions prevailing in the domestic market. In such a situation, increase in electricity tariff will hit the industries and kill competitiveness. So, the objector has requested the Hon'ble Commission to manage the financials of MESCOM by reducing distribution losses, improving efficiency / productivity instead of burdening the industries.	As the cost of supply is increasing due to increase in power purchase cost, transmission cost, O&M expenses, etc., an upward revision of power tariff is inevitable to sustain the business of MESCOM.
	Commission's Views: The Commission is guided by KERC(Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time.	
20.	The objector has requested to publish booklet on Ease of Doing Business in order to avoid delay in getting power connection for the prospective entrepreneurs.	MESCOM has taken note of the suggestion of the objector and same will be provided on www.mesco.in .
	Commission's Views: The Commission has noted the above observation and reply by MESCOM.	
21.	The objector has requested to discontinue collection of fixed charges on monthly basis instead requested to collect one time charge.	The request of the objector is untenable. For catering power supply to its consumers ESCOMs have to construct & maintain the electrical network and the same should also be kept in ready state at all the times so that the consumers get

		supply whenever required. For constructing and maintaining the electrical network, ESCOMs are incurring considerable expenditure which is fixed in nature. Thus the fixed costs so incurred have to be recovered justifiably from the consumers, who are connected to the electrical network, even in cases where there is no power supply or if they do not draw power.
	Commission's Views: The reply furnished by MESCOM is acceptable.	
22.	The objector has requested to design the ToD tariff only to incentivize for usage during the period from 20.00 Hrs to 8.00 Hrs without having any disincentive factor for usage in peak hours.	The rationale behind the ToD tariff is to incentivize the usage during off-peak hours and disincentives at peak hours. Hence, the contention of the objector on ToD cannot acceptable.
	Commission's Views: The Commission has noted the above observation and reply by MESCOM.	
23.	The objector has requested to increase the present limit of 67 HP to 150 HP for availing LT power supply.	Regarding the above, it is to be submitted that MESCOM will follow the regulations of the Hon'ble Commission.
	Commission's Views: The Commission noted the above observation and reply by MESCOM.	
MA11		
24.	<p> §AqA^aA¼A °AÆrPEUAÆ «vÀgAuÁ £ÀµÀÖPÀÆI AiAiA^aÄzÉÄ ,ÄA\$AzsÄ«®è JA\$ PÁgAt ¢Ãr 10.52% PEI vÀ£Äß £ÀµÀÖ^aÄ£ÄÄß 1Ä«ÄvÀUÉÆ½zÉ. </p>	<p> DPÉëÄ¶AuÉzÁgÁgÄ F DPÉëÄ¶AuÉAiÄÄÄ ,Ä^aÄÄAd ,Ä^aÄV®è. §AqA^aA¼A °AÆrPEUAÆ «vÀgAuÁ £ÀµÀÖPÀÆI AiAiA^aÄzÉÄ ,ÄA\$AzsÄ«®è JAzÄÄ ^aÉÄ ,ÄA ¶Äæw¶Äç ,ÄÄwÜ®è. ^aÉÄ ,ÄA£Ä «vÀgAuÁ £ÀµÀÖ^aÄÄ vÄÄA"Ä PÄr^aÉÄ-ÄgÄÄvÄÜzÉ. «zÄÄävì ^aÄÄ^aÄ ,ÉÜAiÄÄ eÄ®^aÄÄ « ,ÄÜgÄuÉUÉÆ¼ÄÄiwÜzÄÄÝ °ÉÆ ,ÄzÄzÄ J i.n. - ÉÉ£iUÄ¼ÄÄ, «zÄÄävì ¶Äj^aÄvÄðPAUÄ¼ÄÄ ,ÉÄ¶ÄðgÉUÉÆAqÄÄ £ÀµÀÖ^aÄÄ °ÉZÄNÜÄÄ^aÄzÄÄ ,Ä^aÄd^aÄVgÄÄvÄÜzÉ. C®èzÉÄ DPÉëÄ¶AuÉzÁgÁgÄÄ w½¹gÄÄ^aÄvÉ ^aÉÄ ,ÄA£Ä «vÀgAuÁ £ÀµÀÖ^aÄÄ PÄ¼ÉzÄ ^aÄµÄðPEI °ÉÆÄ^o1zÄè °ÉZÄNÜ®è. 2017-18 gÄè 11.32% gÄ¶ÖzÄÝ «vÀgAuÁ £ÀµÀÖ^aÄÄ 2018-19 gÄè 10.52% PEI E½çgÄÄvÄÜzÉ. </p>
	DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ C®ü¶ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄÄ: ^a ÄÄ.«.Ä.PÄÄ. AiÄÄÄ ¢ÄrgÄÄ ^a Ä GvÄÜgÄÄ£ÄÄß DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ ^a Äw-ÄÄzÄ UÄ ^a ÄÄ ^a Ä-ÄVzÉ.	
25.	<p> vÄvÄI°PÄ ,ÄA¶ÄPÄð CrAiÄÄè «zÄÄävì ,ÄA¶ÄPÄð ¶ÄgÉAiÄÄ®Ä ¶ÄÄzÄAiÄÄvì ¢gÄ¶ÉÄPÄèuÄ ¶ÄvÄæzÄ CUÄvÄÄ«®èçzÄÝgÄÆ, CzÄ£ÄÄß vÄgÄÄ^aÄvÉ UÄæ°ÄPÄjUÉ vÉÆAzÄgÉ </p>	<p> "Conditions of Supply of Electricity of Distribution Licensees in the State of Karnataka" ¢\$AzsÄ£ÉUÄ¼ÄÄ ¶ÄæÄæPÁgÄ ¶sÉÆèÄgi ¶Ä^oAUì, ^aÄlgi ¶ÄAiAUì, ^aÄÄzÄÄ^aÉ ^aÄÄvÄÄÜ UÄè^oÄ ,Ä^aÄiAgÄÄ"sAUÄ½UÉ ¶Ä«Äðmì / - ÉÉ ,É£i / J£i.M.1. UÄ¼ÄÄ CUÄvÄÄ«gÄÄ^aÄç®è. EzÄ£ÄÆß </p>

	<p>°ÁdgÄÄ ¢Är¹gÄÄªÄÄ¢@è.</p>
<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄZÄ C@ü¥ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄÄ: F ¢ñÖ£Ä°è KERC (Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time ¢ÄÄ DAIÉÆÄUÄZÄ ¢ÄiÄUÄðzÄ²ðAiÄiÄVgÄÄvÄÜzÉ.</p>	
<p>32. gÄwæ 10 UÄAmÉ-ÄAZÄ "É½UÉÍ 6 UÄAmÉAiÄÄªÄgÉUÉ «zÄÄävî "ÉÄrPÉAiÄÄÄ PÄrªÉÄ EgÄÄªÄÄzÄjAzÄ D ,ÄAZÄ"sÄðzÄ°è gÄÆ.1.50 CxÄªÄ CzÄQIÄvÄ °ÉaÑ£Ä jAiÄiÄ-Äw ¢ÄqÄ"ÉÄPÉAzÄÄ DPÉèÄ¥ÄuÉzÄgÄgÄÄ PÉÆÄjgÄÄvÄÜgÉ. C@èzÉÄ °ÉZi.n. UÄæ°ÄPÄjUÉ « ÄÜj¹gÄÄªÄ "«±ÉÄµÄ ¥ÉÆæÄvÄi°Ä AiÉÆÄd£É" AiÄÄ£ÄÄß vÄªÄÄä ,ÄÜªÄgÄUÄ¼UÄÆ « ÄÜjÄ"ÉÄPÉAzÄÄ DPÉèÄ¥ÄuÉzÄgÄgÄÄ PÉÆÄjgÄÄvÄÜgÉ.</p>	<p>ªÄÄAdÄUÄqÉØ ,ÄÜªÄgÄUÄ¼Ä£ÄÄß J-ï.n.-5 dPÄwAiÄÄ°è ¢ÄVÄðPÄjÄ-ÄVzÄÄÝ, FUÄUÄ-ÉÄ J-ï.n.-5 ,ÄÜªÄgÄUÄ¼ÄÄ LaÑPÄ I.M.r. È@°sÄªÄ£ÄÄß ¥ÄqÉzÄÄPÉÆ¼ÄÄªÄ CªÄPÄ±Ä«gÄÄvÄÜzÉ. D È@°sÄªÄ°è gÄwæ 10 UÄAmÉ-ÄAZÄ "É½UÉÍ 6 UÄAmÉAiÄÄªÄgÉUÉ G¥ÄAiÉÆÄV,ÄªÄªÄ «zÄÄävî UÉ gÄÆ.1.00 gÄ jAiÄiÄ-ÄwAiÄÄ£ÄÄß ¢ÄqÄ-ÄVzÉ. J-ï.n.-5 ,ÄÜªÄgÄUÄ¼UÉ "«±ÉÄµÄ ¥ÉÆæÄvÄi°Ä AiÉÆÄd£É" «,ÄÜj,ÄªÄªÄ §UÉÍ UÉgÄªÄªévÄ DAIÉÆÄUÄªÄÄ ¢zsÄðgÄªÄ£ÄÄß vÉUÉzÄÄPÉÆ¼ÄÄªÄzÄVgÄÄvÄÜzÉ.</p>
<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄZÄ C@ü¥ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄÄ: DAIÉÆÄUÄªÄÄ CðzÄgÄgÄ CªÄªÄ£ÄÄß UÄªÄª¹zÉ ¢ÄÄvÄÄÜ ¢ÄÄ.«.Ä.PÄÄ. AiÄÄÄ ¢ÄrgÄÄªÄ GvÄÜgÄªÄ£ÄÄß DAIÉÆÄUÄZÄ ¢Äw-ÄAZÄ UÄªÄª,Ä-ÄVzÉ.</p>	
<p>33. J-ï.n. ¢ÄÄAdÄUÄqÉØ vÄAiÄiÄjPÄ WÄIPÄUÄ¼ÄÄ n.M.r. È@°sÄªÄ£ÄÄß ¥ÄqÉAiÄÄªwÜzÄÄÝ PÄ¼ÉzÄ 1 ¢ÄµÄð¢AzÄ n.M.r. È@°sÄªÄ£ÄÄß AiÄiÄªÄzÉÄ WÄIPÄUÄ¼UÉ ¢ÄqÄÄwÜ@è. MAzÄÄ ¢ÄµÄð¢AzÄ PÉ.E.Dgî.1. DzÉÄ±ÄªÄ£ÄÄß ¢ÉÄ ÄiÄ ¥Ä° ÄÄwÜ@è.</p>	<p>EzÄPÉÍ ÄÄ§Ä¢ü¹zÄAvÉ w½,ÄªÄªzÉÄ£ÉAzÄgÉ, CðzÄgÄgÄÄ F §UÉÍ ¢ÄðµÄÖ ¥ÄæPÄgÄtUÄ¼Ä£ÄÄß ¢ÄÄ«ÄPÄÄ £Ä UÄªÄ£ÄPÉÍ vÄAZÄ°è UÉgÄªÄªévÄ DAIÉÆÄUÄZÄ ¢AiÄÄªÄiÄª¼UÄ¼Ä ¥ÄæPÄgÄ ¥Äj²Ä°¹ PÄæªÄ PÉÉUÉÆ¼ÄÄ-ÄUÄªªÄzÄÄ.</p>
<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄZÄ C@ü¥ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄÄ: ¢ÄÄ.«.Ä.PÄÄ. AiÄÄÄ ¢ÄrgÄÄªÄ GvÄÜgÄªÄ£ÄÄß DAIÉÆÄUÄZÄ ¢Äw-ÄAZÄ UÄªÄª,Ä-ÄVzÉ.</p>	
<p align="center">MA-13 and MB-02 to MB-44</p>	
<p>34. UÉgÄªÄªévÄ DAIÉÆÄUÄªÄÄ PÄ¼ÉzÄ ¢ÄµÄð «zÄÄävî ,ÄgÄ§gÄdÄ PÄÄ¥ÉªUÄ¼UÉ £ÄµÄÖPÉÍ CªÄPÄ±Ä«@èzÄAvÉ zÄgÄ DzÉÄ±Ä ¢ÄrgÄvÄÜzÉ. »Ä¢£Ä ¢ÄµÄðUÄ¼Ä°è -Ä"sÄUÄ¼zÄÝgÄÆ ¥ÄÄ£Äß 62 ¥ÉÉ,É zÄgÄ KJPÉUÉ</p>	<p>UÉgÄªÄªévÄ DAIÉÆÄUÄPÉÍ ¢ÄÄ«ÄPÄÄ ,Ä°è¹gÄªªÄ CðAiÄÄ°è ¢ÉZÄÑUÄ¼Ä£ÄÄß CAzÄf¹gÄªªÄ jÄwAiÄÄ£ÄÄß ,ÄµÄÖªÄV «Äj¹zÄÄÝ D ¥ÄæPÄgÄ PÄAZÄAiÄÄ PÉÆgÄvÉAiÄÄ£ÄÄß -ÉPÄÍ °ÄPÄ-ÄVzÉ. F ¢ÉZÄNUÄ¼Ä£ÄÄß UÉgÄªÄªévÄ DAIÉÆÄUÄªÄÄ «ªÄª²¹ DzÉÄ±Ä ¢ÄqÄÄvÄÜzÉ.</p>

	<p>¶Äæ, ÁÛªÄÉ ,À°è¹gÄÄªÄÄzÀPÉÌ DPÉëÄ¶Ä«zÉ.</p>	
35.	<p>gÁdázÀ EvÁgÀ «zÄÄävï PÄA¶ÉªUÄ½AzÀ °ÁUÄÆ ,ÀgÀPÁgÀçAzÀ ªÉÄ, ÁÌAUÉ ,Á«gÁgÄÄ PÉÆÄn gÄÆ¶Ä-Ä ¨ÁQ-ÄzÄÄÝ CzÄÉÄÄß ªÄ, ÄÆ®Ä ªÄiÄrzÄ°è zÄgÄ KJPÉAiÄÄ ¶Äj¹Üw EgÄÄªÄÄç®è.</p>	
36.	<p>ªÄÄÄÄçÉÄ ªÄµÄðUÄ½UÉ RZÄðÉÄÄß °ÉZÄÑV CAzÁf¹ «zÄÄävï zÄgÄ KJPÉUÉ ,À°è¹gÄÄªÄ ¶Äæ, ÁÛªÄÉUÉ DPÉëÄ¶Ä«z.É</p>	
	<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ C®ü¶ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄÄ: F ¢ñÖÉÄ°è KERC (Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time ªÄÄ DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ ªÄiÄUÄðzÄ²ðAiÄiÄVgÄÄvÄÛzÉ.</p>	
37.	<p>«zÄÄävï RjÄç zÄgÄzÄ°èAiÄÄÆ ¶Äæw AiÄÄÄ¢ñÖUÉ EvÁgÀ PÄA¶ÉªUÄ¼ÄÄ ¶ÄªAw ªÄiÄrzÄÝQAvÄ °ÉaÑÉÄ zÄgÄ ¢ÄrzÉ. EzÄjAzÄ ªÉÄ, ÁÌA UÄæ°ÄPÄjUÉ PÉÆÄmÄÄAvÄgÄ gÄÆ¶Ä-Ä °ÉÆgÄAiÄiÄVzÉ.</p>	<p>«zÄÄävï ,ÀgÄ§gÄdÄ PÄA¶ÉªUÄ½UÉ «zÄÄävï °ÄÄaPÉAiÄÄÉÄÄß ,ÀgÀPÁgÄªÄÄ ªÄiÄqÄÄwÛzÄÄÝ D ¶ÄæPÁgÄ «zÄÄävï RjÄç ªÉZÄÑªÄÉÄÄß ªÉÄ, ÁÌA ¶ÄªAw, ÄÄwÛzÉ.</p>
	<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ C®ü¶ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄÄ: F ¢ñÖÉÄ°è KERC (Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time ªÄÄ DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ ªÄiÄUÄðzÄ²ðAiÄiÄVgÄÄvÄÛzÉ.</p>	
38.	<p>J-Äè r¹Öç§ÆäµÄÉi mÄæÉiï¶sÄªÄÄðgiUÄ½UÉ «ÄÄlgi C¼ÄÄr¹®è ªÄÄvÄÄÛ UÄæ«ÄÄt ¶ÄæzÉÄ±ÄzÄ°è C¼ÄÄr¹gÄÄªÄÄ «ÄÄlgiUÄ¼ÄÄÄß NzÄªÄªÄ PÉ®, ÄªÄV®è.</p>	<p>UÄæ«ÄÄt ¶ÄæzÉÄ±ÄzÄ°è C¼ÄÄr¹gÄÄªÄÄ r¹Öç§ÆäµÄÉi mÄæÉiï¶sÄªÄÄðgi «ÄÄlgiUÄ¼ÄÄÄß NzÄ- ÁUÄÄwÛ®èªÉAzÄÄ DPÉëÄÄÄÄªÄzÄÄ ,ÄjAiÄÄ®è. KPÉAzÄgÉ PÉ®ªÄÄ «ÄÄlgiUÄ¼ÄÄ ªÉÆ"ÉÉ- i ÉÉmiªÄPïð ÉÄ C®"sÄävÉ-ÄAzÄ NzÄ®Ä ,ÄzsÄªÄªÄV®è. CAvÄ°ÄªÄUÄ¼ÄÄÄß ªÄiÄªÄÉÄÄAiÄÄ- i jÄrAUï ªÄiÄr JÉÄfð DrmiÉÄÄß ªÄiÄqÄ- ÁUÄÄwÛzÉ.</p>
	<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ C®ü¶ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄÄ: ªÄÄ.«. Ä.PÄÄ. AiÄÄÄ ¢ÄrgÄÄªÄÄ GvÄÛgÄªÄÄ vÄÉ!ÛzÄAiÄÄPÄªÄ®è. F ¢ñÖÉÄ°è DAIÉÆÄUÄªÄÄ 'JÉÄfð Drmi' «µÄAiÄÄ ,Ä§zÄsÄ ¢ÄrgÄÄªÄÄ ¢zÉÄð±ÄÉÄUÄ¼ÄÄÄß ¶Ä®ÉÉªÄiÄqÄ"ÉÄPÄVgÄÄvÄÛzÉ.</p>	
39.	<p>±ÉÄ.48.49% gÄµÄÄÖ ÉÉPÄgÄgÄ</p>	<p>ÉÉPÄgÄgÄ PÉÆgÄvÉAiÄÄÉÄÄß ¢ÄV Ä®Ä PÄ®PÄ®PÉÌ ÉÉªÄÄPÄwAiÄÄÉÄÄß</p>

	<p>CAZÁf£Á - ÉPÁIZÁgÁzÁ°è ¥ÀqÉAiÀÄwÛgÄÄªÄzÄÄ DPÉëÄ¥ÄtÄÄAiÄÄ.</p>	<p>C¼ÄªÄr¹gÄÄªÄª ÄAiÄ¥ÄPÄUÄ¼Äª°è zÄR- ÄUÄÄªÄª «zÄÄävï ¥ÄæªÄiÄtªÄÈÄß£ÄÄ, Äj¹ DÄiÄiÄ ±ÄSÉAiÄÄ L.!. ÉIÄÖUÄ¼Äª «zÄÄävï §¼ÄPÉAiÄÄ£ÄÄß CAZÁf, Ä- ÄUÄÄwÛzÉ. L.!. ÉIÄÖUÄ¼ÄªUÉ C¼ÄªÄr¹gÄÄªÄª ÄAiÄ¥ÄPÄUÄ¼Äª vÄÄÄªÄ °Ä¼ÉAiÄÄzÄVzÄÄÝª ÄÄvÄÄÛ C£ÄçüPÄÈvÄ PÄÈ¶ ¥ÄÄ¥ÄÄ, ÉmïUÄ¼ÄªzÄV §¼ÄPÉ ¢RgÄªÄVgÄÄªÄªçè. F »£Éß- ÉAiÄÄª°è ¥ÄjªÄvÄðPÄUÄ¼ÄªUÉ C¼ÄªÄr¹gÄÄªÄª ÄAiÄ¥ÄPÄUÄ¼Äª- ÄèUÄÄªÄª zÄR- ÄwÄiÄÄÄ °ÉzÄÄÄÄÄ, ÄªÄÄ¥ÄPÄðªÄVgÄÄvÄÛzÉ. ªÄÄ. «. Ä. PÄÄ. £Ä PÉ®ªÄÄ ¥ÄæzÉÄ±ÄUÄ¼Äª°è L.!. ÉIÄÖ ÄÜªÄgÄUÄ¼ÄªUÉ C¼ÄªÄr¹zÄÝ ÄAiÄ¥ÄPÄUÄ¼Äª£ÄÄß UÄæªPÄgÄÄ C£ÄçüPÄÈvÄªÄV vÉUÉzÄÄ °ÄPÄ- ÄVgÄÄªÄªzÄÄª ÄgÄçAiÄiÄVzÉ.</p>
	<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ C@ü¥ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄªÄ: ªÄÄ. «. Ä. PÄÄ. AiÄÄÄ ¢ÄrgÄÄªÄª GvÄÛgÄªÄ£ÄÄß DÄiÉÆÄUÄzÄª Äw-ÄÄzÄ UÄªÄÄª Ä- ÄVzÉ. ªÄÄ. «. Ä. PÄÄ. AiÄÄÄ F ¢ÄñÖ£Äª°è DÄiÉÆÄUÄªÄª J£Äfð Dmï °ÄUÄÄÆ ¢gÄÄvÄgÄ eÉÆÄÄw AiÉÆÄð£ÉAiÄÄrAiÄÄª°è ¢ÄrgÄÄªÄª ¢zÉÄð±Ä£ÄªÄ£ÄÄß ¥Ä®£É ªÄiÄqÄÄ-ÉÄPÄVgÄÄvÄÛzÉ.</p>	
<p>43. UÄæªPÄgÄ «ÄÄlgï ªÆÄqÄðªÄgÉV£Ä J- Äè ªÄªÄªÄ ÉÜUÄ¼Äª PÄÄ¥ÉªUÉ ÉÄjzÄÄÝ, »ÄVgÄÄªÄUÄ Ä«ð, i ÄAiÄÄgï vÄÄÄqÄzÄgÉ CzÄ£ÄÄß UÄæªPÄgÉÄ °ÄQPÉÆ¼ÄªªÄÄvÉ ªÉÄ, ÄiÄ CçüPÄjUÄ¼Äª w½, ÄÄwÛzÄÝgÉ.</p>		<p>DPÉëÄ¥ÄuÉzÄgÄgÄ w½¹gÄÄªÄÄvÉ UÄæªPÄgÄ «ÄÄlgï ªÆÄqÄðªÄgÉV£Ä J- Äè ªÄªÄªÄ ÉÜUÄ¼Äª PÄÄ¥ÉªUÉ ÉÄjzÄÝVzÄÄÝ, Ä«ð, i ªÄAiÄÄgï vÄÄÄqÄzÄgÉ CªÄÄUÄ¼Äª PÄiÄÄðPÄèªÄÄvÉ, ÄÄgÄPÄèvÉ, EªßvÄgÉ CA±ÄUÄ¼Äª£ÄÄß ¥ÄjUÄtÄ¹ J°è CUÄvÄÄvÉ EgÄÄªÄzÉÆÄ CÄvÄªÄ ÄÜªÄgÄUÄ¼Äª Ä«ð, i ªÄAiÄÄgïUÄ¼Äª£ÄÄß §zÄ- Ä- Ä, Ä®Ä ªÉÄ, ÄiÄ PÄæªÄª PÉÈUÉÆ¼ÄªÄivÄÛzÉ.</p>
	<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ C@ü¥ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄªÄ: ªÄÄ. «. Ä. PÄÄ. AiÄÄÄ ¢ÄrgÄÄªÄª GvÄÛgÄªÄ£ÄÄß DÄiÉÆÄUÄzÄª Äw-ÄÄzÄ UÄªÄÄª Ä- ÄVzÉ.</p>	
<p>44. ªÉÄ, ÄiÄ ªÄÄiÜAiÄÄª°è ÄÄªÄiÄgÄÄ 150 UÄæªÄÄt !üÄqÄgïUÄ¼Äª£ÄÄß ¥ÄævÉÄÄQ¹, PÄÈ¶ ¥ÄÄ¥ÄÄ, ÉIÄÖUÄ¼ÄªUÉ ¥ÄævÉÄÄPÄ !üÄqÄgï ªÄiÄqÄ- ÄVzÄÝgÄÆ CªÄjUÉ ÄªÄÄ¥ÄðPÄ «zÄÄävï zÉÆgÉAiÄÄwÛè.</p>		<p>DPÉëÄ¥ÄuÉzÄgÄgÄ F DPÉëÄ¥ÄuÉÄiÄÄÄ ÄªÄÄÄd, ÄªÄV®è. ªÉÄ, ÄiÄ£Äª°è 124 !üÄqÄgïUÄ¼Äª£ÄÄß ¥ÄævÉÄÄQ, ÄªÄªÄ PÄiÄÄðªÄÄ F ªÄµÄð ªÄÄPÄÜAiÄÄªÄUÄÄvÄÛzÉ.</p>
	<p>DAIÉÆÄUÄzÄ C@ü¥ÄæAiÄÄUÄ¼ÄªÄ: ªÄÄ. «. Ä. PÄÄ. AiÄÄÄ ¢ÄrgÄÄªÄª GvÄÛgÄªÄ£ÄÄß DÄiÉÆÄUÄzÄª Äw-ÄÄzÄ UÄªÄÄª Ä- ÄVzÉ.</p>	
<p>45. ªÉÄ, ÄiÄ ªÄÄiÜAiÄÄª J- Äè G¥Ä «sÄUÄUÄ¼Äª°è zÄÆgÄÄ</p>		<p>DPÉëÄ¥ÄuÉzÄgÄgÄ F DPÉëÄ¥ÄuÉÄiÄÄÄ ÄªÄÄÄd, ÄªÄV®è. ªÉÄ, ÄiÄ£Äª°è J- Äè</p>

	<p>αΑδ°ΑuΑ αΑiΑξΑzΑΑqΑ (J.ī.M.ī.) AiAAξAAß ¥ÁæzÁ²δ¹®è.</p>	<p>G¥Á«ˆsÁUÁ / ±ÁSÁ PÁbÉĀjUÁ¼Á°è zÁÆgÁÁ αΑδ°ΑuΑ αΑiΑξΑzΑΑqΑ (J.ī.M.ī.)UÉ ÁAŞAzsÁ¥ÁİÖ «αAgÁUÁ¼ÁξAAß ¥ÁæzÁ²δ¹- ÁVgÁÁvÁÚzÉ. C®èzÉÁ, F ÁAŞAzsÁ ¥ÁÁ¹ÚPÉAiAAξAAß UÁæ°ÁPÁgÁ CªAUÁ°ÁξÉUÁV G¥Á«ˆsÁUÁ / ±ÁSÁ PÁbÉĀjUÁ¼Á°è ®ˆsÁÁUÉÆ½¹gÁÁvÁÚzÉ.</p>
	<p>DAIÉÆĀUÁzÁ C®ü¥ÁæAiAAU¼ÁÁ: αÁÁ.«. Á.PAA. AiAA αÁrgÁÁªÁ GvÁÚgÁªÁξAAß DAIÉÆĀUÁzÁ αÁw-ÁAzÁ UÁªÁÁªÁ- ÁVzÉ °ÁUÁÆ F αηÖŁÁ°è DAIÉÆĀUÁªÁÁ J.ī.N.ī. CrAiAA°è αÁrgÁÁªÁ ªzÉĀδ±ÁξAAξAAß ¥Á®ŁÉ αÁiÁqÁÁ-ÉĀPÁVgÁÁvÁÚzÉ.</p>	
<p>46.</p>	<p>G¥Á«ˆsÁUÁUÁ¼Á°è PÁgÉAiAAªªÁ AiAiÁªªÁzÉĀ UÁæ°ÁPÁgÁ ÁˆsÉUÁ¼Á°è J.ī.E. CxÁªÁ E.E. ˆsÁUÁªª» ÁªªÁzÁÁ PÁqÁØAiAAªÁVzÁYgÁÆ AiAiÁgÁÆ ˆsÁUÁªª» ÁÁwŪ®è.</p>	<p>DPÉèĀ¥ÁuÉzÁgÁgÁ F DPÉèĀ¥ÁuÉAiAAξAAß M¥Áª®Á ÁzsÁªªÁUÁªªÁ®è. UÁæ°ÁPÁ ÁA¥ÁPÁδ ÁˆsÉUÁ¼ÁξAAß αAiAAvÁPÁ°PÁÁV ŁÁqÉ ÁÁvÁŪ°zÁĀY ÁzÁj ÁˆsÉUÁ½UÉ J.ī.E. CxÁªÁ E.E. CzsÁªPÁévÉ ªÁ»¹ ÁˆsÉUÁ¼ÁξAAß ŁÁqÉ¹ PÉÆnÖgÁÁvÁŪgÉ. EzÁPÉi ªÁªwPÁŪªÁUÁ AiAiÁªªÁzÉĀ ªçðµĀÖ ¥ÁæPÁgÁtUÁ¼ÁξAAß PÁ¥ÉÆðgÉĀmī PÁbÉĀjAiAA UÁªÁÁŁÁPÉi vÁAzÁ°è ÁÆPÁŪ PÁæªÁÁ PÉÉUÉÆ¼ÁĀ ÁUÁªªÁzÁÁ.</p>
	<p>DAIÉÆĀUÁzÁ C®ü¥ÁæAiAAU¼ÁÁ: αÁÁ.«. Á.PAA. AiAA αÁrgÁÁªÁ GvÁÚgÁªÁξAAß DAIÉÆĀUÁzÁ αÁw-ÁAzÁ UÁªÁÁªÁ- ÁVzÉ °ÁUÁÆ F αηÖŁÁ°è DAIÉÆĀUÁªÁÁ UÁæ°ÁPÁgÁ ÁˆsÉUÁ¼Á CrAiAA°è αÁrgÁÁªÁ ªzÉĀδ±ÁξAAξAAß ¥Á®ŁÉ αÁiÁqÁÁ-ÉĀPÁVgÁÁvÁÚzÉ.</p>	
<p>47.</p>	<p>J.ī.n. αAiÁUÁδUÁ¼Á ÁªªÁ¥ÁδPÁ αΑδ°ΑuÉ ªAiÁqÁzÉ EgÁªªÁzÁjAzÁ J.ī.n. ŁÁµĀÖªªÁ °ÉZÁŪVzÉ.</p>	<p>«zÁÁªvī - ÉÉŁi zÁÁgÁ¹Ū °ÁUÁÆ zÁÆgÁÁ αΑδ°ΑuÉUÉ ¥ÁæÁÁŪvÁ ªªªÁ ÉŪAiAA- ÉèĀ CUÁvÁª PÁæªÁÁ PÉÉUÉÆ¼ÁĀ ÁUÁªªwŪzÉ. ªÁÁ¼ÉUÁ®zÁ°èŁÁ «±ÉĀµÁ ¥Áj¹ŪwAiAAξAAß αˆsÁ-Ā Á®Á CAzÁgÉ «zÁÁªvī - ÉÉŁiUÁ¼Á zÁÁgÁ¹Ū °ÁUÁÆ zÁÆgÁÁ αΑδ°ΑuÉUÉ ¥ÁqÉUÁ¼ÁξAAß gÁª¹ CªÁÁUÁ¼Á ªÉĀÁ®Á ÁÁŪªÁjAiAAξAAß ÁªªÁd ÁªÁV ªªδ» Á- ÁUÁªªwŪzÉ.</p>
	<p>DAIÉÆĀUÁzÁ C®ü¥ÁæAiAAU¼ÁÁ: αÁÁ.«. Á.PAA. AiAA αÁrgÁÁªÁ GvÁÚgÁªÁξAAß DAIÉÆĀUÁzÁ αÁw-ÁAzÁ UÁªÁÁªÁ- ÁVzÉ.</p>	
<p>48.</p>	<p>PÁÉĴ αÁÁvÁÁŪ °ÉÉŁÁÁUÁjPÉ AiAiÁAwæĀPÁgÁtUÉÆ¼ÁĀi wŪzÁĀY, CzÁPÉi §¼Á ÁªªÁ «zÁÁªvīUÉ ªÉĀ, ÁiA «çü, ÁªªÁ zÁgÁzÁ°è UÉÆAzÁ® ªÁÁÆr, ÁÁwŪzÉ.</p>	<p>UÉgÁªªªévÁ DAIÉÆĀUÁªªÁ DzÉĀ²¹gÁªªÁ ªÁVÁδPÁgÁtUÁ¼ÁξÁéAiAA UÁæ°ÁPÁgÁÁUÁ¼ÁξAAß ªÁVÁδPÁj, Á- ÁVzÁĀY D ¥ÁæPÁgÁ C®Áè ªAiÁqÁ- ÁUÁªªwŪzÉ. °ÉÉŁÁÁUÁjPÉUÉ AiAiÁªª jÁwAiAA JµĀĀÖ ÁªªxÁªδzÁ AiAAvÁæUÁ¼ÁξAAß §¼Á, Á- ÁUÁªªwŪzÉAiÉÁAŞzÁgÁ ªÉĀĀ- É ªÁVÁδPÁgÁtªÁξAAß ªzsÁδj, Á- ÁUÁªªvÁÚzÉ.</p>

	<p>DAIÉÆĀUĀZĀ C@ü#ĀæAiĀĀUĀ¼ĀĀ: ᵃĀĀ.«.Ā.PĀĀ. AiĀĀĀ ᵃĀrgĀĀᵃĀ GvĀŪgĀᵃĀĒĀĀß DAIÉÆĀUĀZĀ ᵃĀw-ĀĀZĀ UĀᵃĀĀᵃ.Ā-ĀVZÉ.</p>	
<p>49.</p>	<p>ᵃÉĀ ĀĪĀ vĀĒĀß PĀAiĀĀĪ ZĀĪᵃĀnAiĀĀᵃè ĀPĀμĀĀŌ C@üᵃĀĒÉçYAiĀĀĒĀĀß Āçü¹, -ĀᵃsĀZĀᵃèzĀYgĀÆ Āᵃᵃ ᵃĀĀĀĀçĒĀ ᵃĀμĀĪUĀ½UÉ ᵃĀĀĒĀĪ ÆĀμĀŌ vÉÆĀj.ĀĀᵃĀZĀĀ DPÉèĀᵃĀtĀĀAiĀĀ.</p>	<p>«zĀĀĀvī GvĀzĀĒÉ, ĀUĀuÉ, «zĀĀĀvī ĀgĀŞgĀdĀ ᵃĀUĀÆ «zĀĀĀvī eĀ@UĀ¼Ā ᵃĀĀĪᵃĀUÉ ĀĀŞZsĀ CᵃĀ@A@vĀ CA±ĀUĀ¼ĀᵃèĒĀ zĀgĀ KjpÉ-ĀZĀ ᵃĀĀ.«.Ā.PĀĀ.ᵃ.AiĀĀ ᵃÉZĀNzĀᵃè DUĀĀᵃĀ ᵃÉZĀN¼ĀᵃĒĀĀß ĀjzĀÆV.Ā@Ā «zĀĀĀvī zĀgĀUĀ¼ĀĒĀĀß ᵃĀĀNĀĀᵃĀZĀĀ CᵃĀĀAiĀĀĪᵃĀVgĀĀvĀŪZÉ. ᵃĀĀNĒĀ «zĀĀĀvī GᵃĀAiÉÆĀUĀ ᵃĀĀvĀĀŪ ᵃĀtĀĀŞġĀzĀ ᵃĀjuĀᵃĀᵃĀĀ EvĀgÉ ĀĀÉUĀ½UÉ "Āçü.ĀĀᵃĀ jĀwAiĀĀᵃèAiÉĀĀ «zĀĀĀvī ĀgĀŞgĀdĀ PĀĀᵃĀUĀ½UĀÆ "Āçü.ĀĀwŪzĀĀY «zĀĀĀvī ĀgĀŞgĀdĀ PĀĀᵃĀUĀ¼Ā DzĀAiĀĀZĀ ᵃĀĀÆ@, UĀæᵃĀPĀgĀĀ Ş¼Ā.ĀĀᵃĀ «zĀĀĀZĀŌQŪUÉ «çü.ĀĀᵃĀ zĀgĀUĀ¼Ā@èzÉ "ÉĀgÉ ᵃĀĀÆ@UĀ½@èᵃĀzĀYjzĀ@Æ zĀgĀ KjpÉ CᵃĀĀAiĀĀĪᵃĀVzÉ ᵃĀĀvĀĀŪ ᵃĀĀ ĀĪĀĒĀ ᵃĀæ.ĀŪᵃĒÉĀiĀĀAvÉ zĀgĀ KjpÉAiĀĀĒĀĀß ᵃĀjuĀtĀ.ĀĀᵃĀAvÉ UÉgĀᵃĀvĀ DAIÉÆĀUĀᵃĀĒĀĀß F ᵃĀĀÆ@PĀ PÉÆĀjzÉ.</p>
	<p>DAIÉÆĀUĀZĀ C@ü#ĀæAiĀĀUĀ¼ĀĀ: F ᵃnŌĒĀᵃè KERC (Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time ᵃĀĀ DAIÉÆĀUĀZĀ ᵃĀĪĀUĀĪzĀᵃĀiĀĀVgĀĀvĀŪZÉ.</p>	
	<p>MB-01</p>	
<p>50.</p>	<p>The following are the statements of the objector; i). MESCOM's proposal for increase in tariff by 77 paise / unit is not on the basis of "approved pooled power purchase cost of the State of Karnataka excluding Hydro". ii). MESCOM has drastically reduced the HT-2 tariff to their consumers and increase the power supply cost drastically to MSEZ.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MESCOM has considered the methodology as approved by the Commission in determining the tariff for MSEZ in the Tariff Order 2018, but has considered the pooled power purchase cost of MESCOM as per FY21 proposal. MESCOM has not reduced the tariff in respect of HT-2a category. It can be noted that MESCOM has proposed for the higher demand charges for the category and compensated the same by proposing reduction in energy charges and the effect is revenue neutral.
	<p>Commission's Views: The Commission notes the reply provided by MESCOM. Further, the Commission is guided by KERC(Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff for Distribution and retails sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006 and its amendments from time to time.</p>	